Dear Orthur, I am zoney ret to have replied mero quedle to gen Color le debit 74 Oddoor, Prit aten town le been rother betie, en I home be beturing toth at Organd and in long and dayhing tachierands francis belower the toes places Non that term has evaled I have seltled rock to road your wheat poper or Caroloteeas , and Physical Water This thision quote a lot of light at & signant States of first lot mo soflain my pour fort list lot mo soflain my pour loss. clout your 1977 had that the Conclaber of together unet Conservation out Foot, bush invent intervery. The assaulten & localety councin, as 75 a, becore ju de let allu de poss.

that the value of \$I or in the state (100) defords on Whether you are measuring A of (A) on do first specially you would have to leade. (1)  $[A \circ I]_B^U(pos)$   $= X_m \rightarrow [IoB]_A$ and also U(403)(2) [IDB]  $f(A) = Im \rightarrow [f(A)0I] = Im$ (2) [IDB]  $f(A) = Im \rightarrow [f(A)0I] = Im$ (2) [IDB] f(A) = Im(3) [IDB] f(A) = Im(4) [IDB] f(A) = Im(5) [IDB] f(A) = Im(6) [IDB] f(A) = Im(7) [IDB] f(A) = Im(8) [IDB] f(A) = Im(9) [IDB] f(A) = Im(10) [IDB] f(A) = Im(11) [IDB] f(A) = Im(12) [IDB] f(A) = Im(13) [IDB] f(A) = Im(14) [IDB] f(A) = Im(15) [IDB] f(A) = Im(15) [IDB] f(A) = Im(16) [IDB] f(A) = Im(17) [IDB] f(A) = Im(18) reideele de value of I&B uit state W(\$63) when the appenties is get to measure A an all atter plantale, et But how (1) and (2) I count deduced

[H(A) a) ] [Jan ] = f(TA &) B

Scarfly because.

[I & B] A = Im A

[I & B] G(A) = (m. A)

[I & B] G(A) = (m. A)

Attach This implication and goes through, of no dea't distinguis [IBB] A h.

[IBB] A pollows of the probable of the pollows of the po ad then is belet I most of Jayery of ossewed locality.

Just to again the year 1977 proof of meansubney co rock a pred of nonlocality, 4 and decede to hory at to the plausielled of Carelatien (wheel after all is a partie of contact the south of the state of the south of t Carl of the entouched taled hale for gran Comogrando observables, abelique allard a your 1974 Synthere fator-)

Is let no know lelet gut that dat this par let me make a few converts on your now paper: 1-19. It gas des conces de resperseble weletounieresses, et voera dot uto probabilition for each I so confluenced les (CH) is that p (ST) is itself expensed in the (Course Home form genturity (ST, 2) = S(x) T(x) dec S(n, 2) T(x) moder und, in tours of a specied to adoud poins Lx, 2> well a perdoct mongaror defend on it derived pour the welfour monarce a of ord the E- mongaror 2, al are writing \$ 0(5,7)=, Sp(ST,))ealar  $= \int \int_{\Lambda} S(x, \lambda) \cdot T(x, \lambda) e(\lambda) dx$ So fosterezalier has been respond at the 2xix7 level of description.

That this is color & vidested Shearary, to no claiming that a scutablet of lovel of description footbirg that held and its frolier for any such lovel of description is an indeciding that a southern is an indeciding that a Level or got referred enough. John des ceruel y represent to bear at shimony closer actually to bear at shimony closer actually to bear at shimony closer actually to bear at shimony closer speer descent great of the interest great fortunable linking leverality ruck fortunable linking leverality and and unable fortunable fortunable daufication. 1.20 Jaw not hope with your disense of Nolsen's theorem of it is appropriate to get in appropriate theorem. The mean bey do remark a coch seems on the service of the servi As a much to conspared to some rouder varieble As! Of the means As to closes

so dat Pubo H [ A] As Fred (A)

potetier I A to proposition det A a value belonger to the set A enth stoll 19. If get cloening that As gives the rest probled destribution for As according to the statestical algorithm of QM, when it follows that As are = (As > 1.v. and. L3 Z= d, LA, Jon + dr LAr Zon -= d, < AB Ju + dr LAr Jut -= (d, A, tdrArt - -) Int dues makes your statement of Nollan's thousand trevols false.

What Nelson deil slow lover that if A Corolan's to As in the person of their choice of the person of th Attle coeffeeon di swel det the fullabelle destrebaters for 5 and 5 date pet agree Talthough the enfectation rather wed agree of

What Bell's argument Heren I would have thought, a test all donder variables to Colospard & AB, AB', To. Corret & jost A(1) B(1), A(1) B'(1), De teken A(A) u to sorder varielle ovofunding Co A ad B() to B, ele. (ugener throughout my source of to und consper I fait to se the convection have well Velson's even if the compandered is restricted to getting only to expectable values right. I fail to see the p.22 ff.
Johner de enginter of seen medicarya

ord preson medels. Wet regard to the

former g feel the time conspirating med

former g feel the time it there can be mett be more affighealt, if they asoch refroduce and productions in all arecemble and never allow the true possessons destres

to be probed. Well regard to the pren, models I apper stood ther is privile, but feel det dootho doothomisaken and perhaps we most foel up la de ochhamization su quarteur mochanics It was a growt Magaco to most you again lost Jummer. They g wish you and your family a proper 1981. Jour ever.